

## **Dalemont Ltd v Senatorov and others**

## November 2015

Collas Crill acted for the first and third defendants in this multijurisdictional matter, where there were proceedings issued in Russia, Cyprus and the BVI.

In Jersey, the plaintiff sought to enforce Russian judgments obtained against the first defendant and amounting to some US\$44m against the second defendant, a Jersey foundation, on the grounds that it was controlled by the first defendant and also that the transfers into the foundation should be set aside as being intended to defraud creditors. The case raised new points of law, namely whether the corporate veil of a foundation could be pierced, and whether the transfer of assets not vested in the judgment debtor would be amenable to a set-aside claim of this kind.

There were interlocutory issues about jurisdiction, the scope of disclosure orders ancillary to freezing orders, and as to the entitlement of a defendant to give live evidence. Indeed the trial, initially scheduled for April, was adjourned on the basis that the Plaintiff had obtained an order in Russia preventing Mr Senatorov from leaving that jurisdiction, meaning the only option would have been videolink evidence. However, the Russian court could not be persuaded to lift the travel ban and so the trial was relisted to go ahead in October.

Ultimately it did not proceed and the matter was settled on a global basis.

## Regulatory Real estate Private client and trusts Insolvency and restructuring Dispute resolution Corporate Banking and finance

This note is a summary of the subject and is provided for information only. It does not purport to give specific legal advice, and before acting, further advice should always be sought. Whilst every care has been taken in producing this note neither the author nor Collas Crill shall be liable for any errors, misprint or misinterpretation of any of the matters set out in it. All copyright in this material belongs to Collas Crill.